

SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST-
COMPLAINT REGISTER INVESTIGATION NO.:
CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT

225283

DATE OF REPORT (DAY-MO.-YEAR)

17-May-96

To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either EXONERATED, UNFOUNDED, NOT SUSTAINED, or in SUSTAINED cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed FIVE (5) DAYS SUSPENSION.

SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES IF ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.
SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 4 COPIES IF NOT ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.

TO: SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE

ATTENTION ☐ ADMINISTRATOR IN CHARGE, OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS
☐ ASSISTANT DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT, INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION

FROM-INVESTIGATOR'S NAME SHEEHAN, Thomas		RANK Sgt	STAR NO. 1220	SOCIAL SEC. NO. [REDACTED]	EMPLOYEE NO. [REDACTED]	UNIT ASSIGN. 013
ADDRESS OF INCIDENT 836 N. DAMEN		DATE OF INCIDENT - TIME 27-Jan-96 0030		BEAT OF INCIDENT 1322	LOCATION CODE* 19	
NAME 1. MATIAS, Hector L.		RANK PO.	STAR NO. 9350	SOCIAL SEC. NO. [REDACTED]	EMPLOYEE NO. [REDACTED]	UNIT ASSIGN. 013
NAME 2. ROMERO, Hector J.		RANK PO	STAR NO. 12242	SOCIAL SEC. NO. [REDACTED]	EMPLOYEE NO. [REDACTED]	UNIT ASSIGN. 013
ACCUSED	SEX/RACE 1. M/4	D.O.B. [REDACTED]-69	DATE OF APPOINTMENT 18-Nov-91		DUTY STATUS (TIME OF INCIDENT) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY	
					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN	
					PHYS. COND. CODE† 01	
SEX/RACE 2. M/4		D.O.B. [REDACTED] 65	DATE OF APPOINTMENT 27 Mar 91		DUTY STATUS (TIME OF INCIDENT) <input type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY	
						<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN
						PHYS. COND. CODE† 01
IF APPLICABLE - DATE ARRESTED/INDICTED		CHARGES		COURT BRANCH	DISPOSITION & DATE	
1.						
2.						
COMPLAINANTS	NAME	*ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE
	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	M/4
VICTIMS	NAME	ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE
	SAME AS COMPLAINANT					
WITNESSES	NAME	ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE

☐ SEE ATTACHED SHEET FOR ADDITIONAL ACCUSED, COMPLAINANTS, VICTIMS, WITNESSES.

ALLEGATIONS

THE COMPLAINANT ALLEGES THAT SEVERAL CHICAGO POLICE OFFICERS BROKE THE DOORS TO THE APPARTMENT ON THE 1ST AND 2ND FLOOR OF HIS BUILDING AND HIS UPHOLSTERY SHOP WITHOUT A WARRANT OR PERMISSION. THE COMPLAINANT FURTHER ALLEGES THAT THE OFFICERS LEFT HIS PROPERTY UNSECURED AFTER THEY BROKE ALL THE DOORS.

NOTE: THE COMPLAINANT STATED THAT HE WAS NOT HOME AT THE TIME OF THE INCIDENT, BUT HE LATER LEARNED THAT THE POLICE HAD ARRESTED HIS TENNANTS- [REDACTED] AND [REDACTED] WHO RENT HIS 3RD FLOOR APARTMENT.

I.A.D. LOCATION CODES*

01 Food Sales/Restaurant	11 Public Transportation Veh./Facility
02 Tavern/Liquor Store	12 Park District Property
03 Other Business Establishment	13 Airport
04 Police Building	14 Public Property - Other
05 Lockup Facility	15 Other Private Premise
06 Police Maintenance Facility	16 Expressway/Interstate System
07 CPD Automotive Pound Facility	17 Public Way - Other
08 Other Police Property	18 Waterway, Incl. Park District
09 Police Communications System	19 Private Residence
10 Court Room	

I.A.D. PHYSICAL CONDITION CODES†

01 No Visible Injury - Apparently Normal
02 No Visible Injury - Under Influence
03 Injured, Not Hospitalized
04 Injured, Not Hospitalized - Under Influence
05 Injured, Hospitalized
06 Injured, Hospitalized - Under Influence
07 Injured, Refused Medical Aid
08 Injured, Refused Medical Aid - Under Influence
09 Deceased
10 Deceased - Under Influence

* IF CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR, SOCIAL SECURITY, EMPLOYEE NOS. IN ADDRESS BOX, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX.

SUMMARY

Briefly summarize the investigation describing your efforts to prove or disprove the allegation(s). Indicate whether witnesses or evidence support or do not support the allegation(s).

In sustained cases ONLY, copies of the accused member's Summary of Previous Disciplinary Actions and Record of Previous Complimentary History will be included as attachments.

R/Sgt. received this CR# for investigation on 07 Mar 96. Preliminary investigation shows the accused as listed on this report. Accused Officers were given their Notice of Allegations, Admin. Proceeding Rights, and Request/Waiver for Counsel forms. All accused officers submitted reports which are labeled as Attachments #11, #15, #19, and #23. R/Sgt. interviewed Complainant and this statement is labeled as Att.# 2.

The statement given to R/Sgt. by the [REDACTED] essentially states that he has no personal knowledge of who did the damage to his doors in his building. [REDACTED] states that he was told by his tenants that the Police broke his doors. [REDACTED] tenants (Identified in Att.'s #2, #3, #4, #5, & #6) were arrested during a narcotics surveillance at [REDACTED] building. The Tenants were asked for an interview ref. this CR# and they refused to cooperate in any way. The tenants refused to open the door of their apartment or to talk with R/Sgt. when asked.

All accused Officers deny any involvement in the breaking of any doors at the Complainant's building.

There is no other physical evidence available in the investigation.

CH-
ITS

INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS— SUPPORTING ALLEGATION LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS— SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBER(S) LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	PHYSICAL EVIDENCE LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS SUBMITTED WITH THIS FILE:
None	5,6,11,15,19,23	None	24

Summarize the findings and recommendations. Rule violations will be cited by number only. One overall recommendation for Disciplinary Action will be made by the investigator. The recommendation will be for ALL sustained findings; recommendations will NOT be made for each sustained allegation.

Example: 1. Violation noted, no disciplinary action warranted. 2. That the accused member be reprimanded. 3. That the accused member be suspended for days (not to exceed 5 days).

FINDINGS—RECOMMENDATIONS

FINDINGS: R/Sgt. finds that the accused Officers were in fact at the complainant's building, [REDACTED], during a narcotics surveillance. R/Sgt. finds that the accused Officers did make 2 Arrests at the time of the incident. R/Sgt. finds that there is no evidence to show that the accused Officers broke any doors belonging to [REDACTED]. R/Sgt. finds that there is insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegations. Therefore, R/Sgt. finds that the allegations are NOT SUSTAINED.

RECOMMENDATION: NO DISCIPLINARY ACTION.

REVIEWED BY: [REDACTED]
DATE: JUL 03 1996

DATE INITIATED (DATE COMPLAINT WAS RECEIVED FOR INVESTIGATION)	07 Mar 96	DATE COMPLETED (DATE OF THIS REPORT)	17 May 96	ELAPSED TIME	72
Investigator will initiate the Command Channel Review form by completing the Investigator's Section.		INV [REDACTED]			

IF NECESSARY, USE AN 8 1/2 x 11" SHEET OF WHITE PAPER TO CONTINUE ANY ITEM.

SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST -CONTINUATION SHEET
ADDITIONAL ACCUSED

1.	WIER, ERIC	PO	5743	SS#	[REDACTED]	EMP#	[REDACTED]	013
	M/2	DOB	[REDACTED] 62	DOA	13 DEC 93	ON DUTY/SWORN		01
2.	RONAN, SEAN	PO	14396	SS#	[REDACTED]	EMP#	[REDACTED]	013
	M/2	DOB	[REDACTED] 70	DOA	22 NOV 93	ON DUTY/SWORN		01
